

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)	
)	
J. Feeney Associates, Inc. d/b/a Chain Lakes Cable)	File No. EB-02-TS-136
)	
Operator of Cable Systems in:)	
)	
Cincinnatus, New York)	
Minerva, New York)	
DeRuyter, New York)	
Newcomb, New York)	
Knoxboro, New York)	
Smithfield, New York)	
Bloomfield Township, Pennsylvania)	
Lebanon, Virginia)	
Speedwell, Virginia)	
Birchleaf, Virginia)	
)	
Request for Waiver of Section 11.11(a) of the)	
Commission's Rules)	

ORDER

Adopted: September 24, 2002

Released: September 27, 2002

By the Chief, Technical and Public Safety Division, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this *Order*, we grant J. Feeney Associates, Inc. d/b/a Chain Lakes Cable (“Chain Lakes”) temporary 36-month waivers of Section 11.11(a) of the Commission’s Rules (“Rules”) for the 10 above-captioned cable television systems. Section 11.11(a) requires cable systems serving fewer than 5,000 subscribers from a headend to either provide national level Emergency Alert System (“EAS”) messages on all programmed channels or install EAS equipment and provide a video interrupt and audio alert on all programmed channels and EAS audio and video messages on at least one programmed channel by October 1, 2002.¹

2. The Cable Act of 1992 added new Section 624(g) to the Communications Act of 1934 (“Act”), which requires that cable systems be capable of providing EAS alerts to their subscribers.² In

¹ 47 C.F.R. § 11.11(a).

² Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Pub. L. No. 102-385, § 16(b), 106 Stat. 1460, 1490 (1992). Section 624(g) provides that “each cable operator shall comply with such standards as the Commission shall prescribe to ensure that viewers of video programming on cable systems are afforded the same emergency information as is afforded by the emergency broadcasting system pursuant to Commission regulations” 47 U.S.C. § 544(g).

1994, the Commission adopted rules requiring cable systems to participate in EAS.³ In 1997, the Commission amended the EAS rules to provide financial relief for small cable systems.⁴ The Commission declined to exempt small cable systems from the EAS requirements, concluding that such an exemption would be inconsistent with the statutory mandate of Section 624(g).⁵ However, the Commission extended the deadline for cable systems serving fewer than 10,000 subscribers to begin complying with the EAS rules to October 1, 2002, and provided cable systems serving fewer than 5,000 subscribers the option of either providing national level EAS messages on all programmed channels or installing EAS equipment and providing a video interrupt and audio alert on all programmed channels and EAS audio and video messages on at least one programmed channel.⁶ In addition, the Commission stated that it would grant waivers of the EAS rules to small cable systems on a case-by-case basis upon a showing of financial hardship.⁷ The Commission indicated that waiver requests must contain at least the following information: (1) justification for the waiver, with reference to the particular rule sections for which a waiver is sought; (2) information about the financial status of the requesting entity, such as a balance sheet and income statement for the two previous years (audited, if possible); (3) the number of other entities that serve the requesting entity's coverage area and that have or are expected to install EAS equipment; and (4) the likelihood (such as proximity or frequency) of hazardous risks to the requesting entity's audience.⁸

3. On August 5, 2002, Chain Lakes filed a request for temporary, 36-month waivers of Section 11.11(a) for the 10 captioned cable systems. In support of its waiver request, Chain Lakes states that each of the 10 systems serves small, rural communities with between 33 and 860 subscribers. Based on price quotes provided by EAS equipment manufacturers, Chain Lakes estimates that it would cost approximately \$10,000 to install EAS equipment at each of these systems for a total cost of \$100,000. Chain Lakes asserts that the cost of installing EAS equipment at the 10 systems will impose a substantial financial hardship on it and provides financial statements for 2000 and 2001 in support of this assertion. In addition, Chain Lakes submits that its subscribers will continue to have ready access to national EAS information from other sources, including its own cable systems. In this regard, Chain Lakes notes that its subscribers currently have access to national EAS messages on at least 37 percent of all programmed channels. Chain Lakes also asserts that its subscribers will have access to EAS information through over-the-air reception of broadcast television and radio stations and other sources. Finally, Chain Lakes believes that it can fund EAS equipment for its small systems in the next three years.

³ *Amendment of Part 73, Subpart G, of the Commission's Rules Regarding the Emergency Broadcast System, Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, FO Docket Nos. 91-171/91-301, 10 FCC Rcd 1786 (1994) ("*First Report and Order*"), *reconsideration granted in part, denied in part*, 10 FCC Rcd 11494 (1995).

⁴ *Amendment of Part 73, Subpart G, of the Commission's Rules Regarding the Emergency Broadcast System, Second Report and Order*, FO Docket Nos. 91-171/91-301, 12 FCC Rcd 15503 (1997) ("*Second Report and Order*").

⁵ *Id.* at 15512-13.

⁶ *Id.* at 15516-15518.

⁷ *Id.* at 15513.

⁸ *Id.* at 15513, n. 59.

4. Based upon our review of the financial data and other information submitted by Chain Lakes, we conclude that temporary, 36-month waivers of Section 11.11(a) for the 10 cable systems are warranted.⁹ In particular, we find that the estimated \$100,000 cost of EAS equipment for these small cable systems could impose financial hardship on Chain Lakes.

5. We note that the Commission recently amended the EAS rules to permit cable systems serving fewer than 5,000 subscribers to install FCC-certified decoder-only units, rather than both encoders and decoders, if such a device becomes available.¹⁰ Based on comments from equipment manufacturers, we anticipate that such a decoder-only system could result in significant cost savings to small cable systems.¹¹

6. Accordingly, **IT IS ORDERED** that, pursuant to Sections 0.111, 0.204(b) and 0.311 of the Rules,¹² J. Feeney Associates, Inc. d/b/a Chain Lakes Cable **IS GRANTED** a waiver of Section 11.11(a) of the Rules until October 1, 2005 for each of the 10 captioned cable television systems.

7. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that J. Feeney Associates, Inc. d/b/a Chain Lakes Cable place a copy of this waiver in its system files.

8. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a copy of this *Order* shall be sent by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested to counsel for J. Feeney Associates, Inc. d/b/a Chain Lakes Cable, Christopher C. Cinnamon, Esq., Cinnamon Mueller, 307 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 1020, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Joseph P. Casey
Chief, Technical and Public Safety Division
Enforcement Bureau

⁹ The 36-month waivers will extend from October 1, 2002 until October 1, 2005. Chain Lakes also specifically requested waiver of the testing and monitoring requirements of the EAS rules for the ten systems. We clarify that the waivers we are granting also encompass the EAS testing and monitoring requirements.

¹⁰ *Amendment of Part 11 of the Commission's Rules Regarding the Emergency Alert System*, EB Docket 01-66, FCC 02-64 at ¶ 71 (released February 26, 2002).

¹¹ One manufacturer estimated that an EAS decoder-only system can reduce the cost by 64% over what a cable operator would spend for an encoder/decoder unit. *Id.* at ¶ 70.

¹² 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.204(b) and 0.311.