

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
AT&T Corporation)	IC Nos. 02-S73592
)	02-S77203
Complaints Regarding)	02-S79094
Unauthorized Change of)	02-S79240
Subscriber's Telecommunications Carrier)	02-S79431
)	02-S79522
)	02-S79577
)	02-S80260
)	02-S80469
)	02-S80700
)	02-S81235
)	02-S81337
)	02-S81499
)	02-S81530
)	02-S81535
)	02-S81618
)	02-S81680
)	02-S81684
)	02-S81687

ORDER

Adopted: January 28, 2003

Released: January 31, 2003

By the Deputy Chief, Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

1. In this Order, we consider complaints¹ alleging that AT&T Corporation (AT&T) changed Complainants' telecommunications service providers without obtaining authorization and verification from Complainant in violation of the Commission's rules.² We conclude that AT&T's actions did result in an unauthorized change in Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we grant Complainants' complaints.

2. In December 1998, the Commission released the *Section 258 Order* in which it adopted rules to implement section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934 (Act), as amended

¹ See Appendix A.

² See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1100 – 64.1190.

by the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act).³ Section 258 prohibits the practice of “slamming,” the submission or execution of an unauthorized change in a subscriber’s selection of a provider of telephone exchange service or telephone toll service.⁴ In the *Section 258 Order*, the Commission adopted aggressive new rules designed to take the profit out of slamming, broadened the scope of the slamming rules to encompass all carriers, and modified its existing requirements for the authorization and verification of preferred carrier changes. The rules require, among other things, that a carrier receive individual subscriber consent before a carrier change may occur.⁵ Pursuant to section 258, carriers are absolutely barred from changing a customer's preferred local or long distance carrier without first complying with one of the Commission's verification procedures.⁶ Specifically, a carrier must: (1) obtain the subscriber's written or electronically signed authorization in a format that meets the requirements of section 64.1130 authorization; (2) obtain confirmation from the subscriber via a toll-free number provided exclusively for the purpose of confirming orders electronically; or (3) utilize an independent third party to verify the subscriber's order.⁷

3. The Commission also has adopted liability rules. These rules require the carrier to absolve the subscriber where the subscriber has not paid his or her bill. In that context, if the subscriber has not already paid charges to the unauthorized carrier, the subscriber is absolved of liability for charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier for service provided during the first 30 days after the unauthorized change.⁸ Where the subscriber has paid charges to the unauthorized

³ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a); Telecommunications Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56 (1996); *Implementation of the Subscriber Carrier Selection Changes Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Second Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making, 14 FCC Rcd 1508 (1998) (*Section 258 Order*), *stayed in part*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. May 18, 1999); First Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 8158 (2000); *stay lifted*, *MCI WorldCom v. FCC*, No. 99-1125 (D.C. Cir. June 27, 2000); Third Report and Order and Second Order on Reconsideration, 15 FCC Rcd 15996 (2000), Errata, DA No. 00-2163 (rel. Sept. 25, 2000), Erratum, DA No. 00-2192 (rel. Oct. 4, 2000), Order, FCC 01-67 (rel. Feb. 22, 2001); *reconsideration pending*. Prior to the adoption of Section 258, the Commission had taken various steps to address the slamming problem. *See, e.g., Policies and Rules Concerning Unauthorized Changes of Consumers' Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 94-129, Report and Order, 10 FCC Rcd 9560 (1995), *stayed in part*, 11 FCC Rcd 856 (1995); *Policies and Rules Concerning Changing Long Distance Carriers*, CC Docket No. 91-64, 7 FCC Rcd 1038 (1992), *reconsideration denied*, 8 FCC Rcd 3215 (1993); Investigation of Access and Divestiture Related Tariffs, CC Docket No. 83-1145, Phase I, 101 F.C.C.2d 911, 101 F.C.C.2d 935, *reconsideration denied*, 102 F.C.C.2d 503 (1985).

⁴ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁵ *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120.

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 258(a).

⁷ *See* 47 C.F.R. § 64.1120(c). Section 64.1130 details the requirements for letter of agency form and content for written or electronically signed authorizations. 47 C.F.R. § 64.1130.

⁸ *See* 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160. Any charges imposed by the unauthorized carrier on the subscriber for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscriber to the authorized carrier at the rates the subscriber was paying to the authorized carrier at the time of the unauthorized change. *Id.*

carrier, the Commission's rules require that the unauthorized carrier pay 150% of those charges to the authorized carrier, and the authorized carrier shall refund or credit to the subscriber 50% of all charges paid by the subscriber to the unauthorized carrier.⁹ Carriers should note that our actions in this order do not preclude the Commission from taking additional action, if warranted, pursuant to Section 503 of the Act.¹⁰

4. We received Complainants' complaints alleging that Complainants' telecommunications service providers had been changed from IDT Corporation (IDT) to AT&T without Complainants' authorization.¹¹ Pursuant to sections 1.719 and 64.1150 of our rules,¹² we notified AT&T of the complaints and AT&T responded.¹³ AT&T states that IDT customers was mistakenly subscribed to its service when IDT switched its underlying carrier to AT&T. We find that AT&T has failed to produce clear and convincing evidence that Complainants authorized carrier changes.¹⁴ Therefore, we find that AT&T's actions resulted in unauthorized changes in Complainants' telecommunications service providers and we discuss AT&T's liability below.¹⁵

5. AT&T must remove all charges incurred for service provided to Complainants for the first thirty days after the alleged unauthorized change in accordance with the Commission's liability rules.¹⁶ We have determined that Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized change occurred and that neither the authorized carrier nor AT&T may pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges.¹⁷ Any charges imposed by AT&T on the subscribers for service provided after this 30-day period shall be paid by the subscribers to IDT at the rates the subscribers were paying to IDT at the time of unauthorized change of telecommunications service provider.¹⁸

⁹ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1170.

¹⁰ See 47 U.S.C. § 503.

¹¹ See Appendix A.

¹² 47 C.F.R. § 1.719 (Commission procedure for informal complaints filed pursuant to section 258 of the Act); 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150 (procedures for resolution of unauthorized changes in preferred carrier).

¹³ See Appendix A.

¹⁴ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1150(d).

¹⁵ If Complainant is unsatisfied with the resolution of this complaint, Complainant may file a formal complaint with the Commission pursuant to section 1.721 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.721. Such filing will be deemed to relate back to the filing date of Complainant's informal complaint so long as the formal complaint is filed within 45 days from the date this order is mailed or delivered electronically to Complainant. See 47 C.F.R. § 1.719.

¹⁶ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(b).

¹⁷ See 47 C.F.R. § 64.1160(d).

¹⁸ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 64.1140, 64.1160.

6. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to section 258 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 258, and sections 0.141, 0.361 and 1.719 of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.141, 0.361, 1.719, the complaints filed against AT&T Corporation ARE GRANTED.

7. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to section 64.1170(d) of the Commission's rules, 47 C.F.R. § 64.1170(d), Complainants are entitled to absolution for the charges incurred during the first thirty days after the unauthorized change occurred and AT&T Corporation may not pursue any collection against Complainants for those charges.

8. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Order is effective upon release.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Margaret M. Egler, Deputy Chief
Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau

APPENDIX A

<u>INFORMAL COMPLAINT NUMBER</u>	<u>DATE OF NOTICE</u>	<u>DATE OF RESPONSE</u>
02-S73592	June 7, 2002	July 3, 2002
02-S77203	July 16, 2002	August 7, 2002
02-S79094	August 23, 2002	September 16, 2002
02-S79240	August 12, 2002	September 12, 2002
02-S79431	August 12, 2002	September 16, 2002
02-S79522	August 23, 2002	September 12, 2002
02-S79577	August 23, 2002	September 20, 2002
02-S80260	September 27, 2002	October 9, 2002
02-S80469	September 25, 2002	October 9, 2002
02-S80700	October 25, 2002	November 22, 2002
02-S81235	November 15, 2002	December 16, 2002
02-S81337	November 22, 2002	December 19, 2002
02-S81499	November 29, 2002	December 16, 2002
02-S81530	November 29, 2002	December 19, 2002
02-S81535	November 29, 2002	December 27, 2002
02-S81624	December 13, 2002	January 6, 2003
02-S81680	December 12, 2002	January 10, 2003
02-S81684	December 13, 2002	January 10, 2003
02-S81687	December 13, 2002	December 30, 2002