

**Before the  
Federal Communications Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20554**

	)	
In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Amendment of Section 73.622(b),	)	MB Docket No. 04-189
Table of Allotments,	)	RM-10962
Digital Television Broadcast Stations.	)	
(Anchorage, Alaska)	)	
	)	
	)	

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULE MAKING**

**Adopted: May 13, 2004**

**Released: May 24, 2004**

Comment Date: July 15, 2004

Reply Comment Date: July 30, 2004

By the Chief, Video Division:

1. The Commission has before it a petition for rule making jointly filed by three television stations (collectively, the “Joint Petitioners”) licensed to serve Anchorage, Alaska, requesting changes to the DTV Table of Allotments.<sup>1</sup> Specifically, the Joint Petitioners request the substitution of DTV channel 10 for station KTUU-TV’s assigned DTV channel 18; the substitution of DTV channel 8 for station KAKM(TV)’s assigned DTV channel 24; and the substitution of DTV channel 12 for station KIMO(TV)’s assigned DTV channel 30.<sup>2</sup>

2. The Joint Petitioners state that they are all members of the Anchorage Broadcast Television Consortium, which includes six NTSC commercial and noncommercial television broadcast stations licensed to serve the Anchorage television market. They contend that Anchorage’s unique and difficult geography has posed many hurdles of the implementation of digital service by the Anchorage licensees. The Joint Petitioners state that changing channels will enable them to co-locate their facilities at the F.A.M. Tower Site. They claim that it will be more financially efficient to co-locate at their owned, analog site than to individually locate and obtain permission to use disparate sites elsewhere.

---

<sup>1</sup> The licensees of these three stations are Channel 2 Broadcasting Company (“Channel 2”), licensee of station KTUU-TV; Alaska Public Telecommunications, Inc. (“Alaska Public Telecom”), licensee of station KAKM(TV); and Smith Television License Holding, Inc. (“Smith”), licensee of KIMO(TV).

<sup>2</sup> Initially, Channel 2 and Alaska Public Telecom filed a Joint Petition for Rule Making on February 20, 2003. Subsequently the petition was amended to add Smith and amended again on March 12, 2004, and April 6, 2004.

3. We believe the Joint Petitioners’ proposal warrants consideration since it could enable the three Anchorage broadcasters to share tower facilities. DTV Channels \*8, 10, and 12 can be substituted for DTV Channels 18, \*24 and 30 at Anchorage, Alaska, as proposed, in compliance with the principle community coverage requirements of Section 73.625(a) at coordinates 61-25 22 N. and 149-52-20 W.<sup>3</sup> In addition, we find that this channel change is acceptable under the 2 percent criterion for de minimis impact that is applied in evaluating requests for modification of initial DTV allotments under Section 73.623(c)(2). As requested, we also propose to modify the authorizations of stations KTUU-DT, KAKM-DT, and KIMO-DT to specify operation on the alternates DTV channels with the following specifications:

State & City	DTV Channel	DTV power (kW)	Antenna HAAT (m)
AK Anchorage	*8	50	240
AK Anchorage	10	21	240
AK Anchorage	12	41	240

4. Accordingly, we seek comments on the proposed amendments of the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules, for the community listed below, to read as follows:

<u>City</u>	<u>Channel No.</u>	
	<u>Present</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
Anchorage, Alaska	6c, 18, 20, *24, *26, 28 30, 32	6c, *8, 10, 12, 20, *26, 28, 32

5. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein. In particular, we note that a showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be allotted.

6. Interested parties may file comments on or before July 15, 2004, and reply comments on or before July 30, 2004, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures. Comments should be filed with the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. Additionally, a copy of such comments should be served on the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows:

<sup>3</sup> A Joint Request for Approval of Settlement Agreement was approved by the Commission on May 13, 2004, resolving the mutual exclusivity between the applications for a construction permit for a new noncommercial educational television station on channel 9. Acceptance of the Settlement Agreement enables KAKM-DT to operate on channel 8 with 50 kW ERP from the F.A.M. Tower Site.

Richard R. Zaragoza  
Shaw Pittman LLP  
2300 N Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20037-1128  
(Counsel for the Joint Petitioners)

7. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the TV Table of Allotments, Section 73.606(b) and 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules. See Certification That Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend Sections 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules, 46 FR 11549, February 9, 1981. The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 would also not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules.

8. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Pam Blumenthal, Media Bureau, (202) 418-1600. For purposes of this restricted notice and comment rule making proceeding, members of the public are advised that no ex parte presentations are permitted from the time the Commission adopts a Notice of Proposed Rule Making until the proceeding has been decided and such decision is no longer subject to reconsideration by the Commission or review by any court. An ex parte presentation is not prohibited if specifically requested by the Commission or staff for the clarification or adduction of evidence or resolution of issues in the proceeding. However, any new written information elicited from such a request or a summary of any new oral information shall be served by the person making the presentation upon the other parties to the proceeding unless the Commission specifically waives this service requirement. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an ex parte presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment, to which the reply is directed, constitutes an ex parte presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Barbara A. Kreisman  
Chief, Video Division  
Media Bureau

Attachment: Appendix

APPENDIX

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(c)(1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Sections 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, IT IS PROPOSED TO AMEND the DTV Table of Allotments, Section 73.622(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.

2. Showings Required. Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed allotment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is allotted and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. Cut-off protection. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See Section 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules).

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposals in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to allot a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. Comments and Reply Comments; Service. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in Sections 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or by persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See Section 1.420(a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.). The Commission permits the electronic filing of all pleadings and comments in proceeding involving petitions for rule making (*except in broadcast allotment proceedings*). See Electronic Filing of Documents in Rule Making Proceedings, GC Docket No. 97-113 (rel. April 6, 1998). Filings by paper can be sent by hand or messenger delivery, by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal

Service mail (although we continue to experience delays in receiving U.S. Postal Service mail). The Commission's contractor, Vistrionix, Inc., will receive hand-delivered or messenger-delivered paper filings for the Commission's Secretary at 236 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E., Suite 110, Washington, D.C. 20002. The filing hours at this location are 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. All hand deliveries must be held together with rubber bands or fasteners. Any envelopes must be disposed of before entering the building. Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9300 East Hampton Drive, Capitol Heights, MD 20743. U.S. Postal Service first-class mail, Express Mail, and Priority Mail should be addressed to 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20554. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

5. Number of Copies. In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. Public Inspection of Filings. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Reference Center (Room CY-A257) at its headquarters, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.